



॥ सा विद्या या विमुक्तये ॥

**स्वामी रामानंद तीर्थ मराठवाडा विद्यापीठ, नांदेड**

'ज्ञानतीर्थ', विष्णुपुरी, नांदेड - ४३१ ६०६ (महाराष्ट्र राज्य) भारत

**SWAMI RAMANAND TEERTH MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, NANDED**

'Dnyanteerth', Vishnupuri, Nanded - 431 606 (Maharashtra State) INDIA

Established on 17th September, 1994, Recognized By the UGC U/s 2(f) and 12(B), NAAC Re-accredited with 'B++' grade

कुलगुरू कार्यालय

दिनांक : १२/११/२०२२

मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांचे अपिलावरील निर्णय

अधिसभेवर अध्यापक गटामधून निवडून देण्याकरीता नामनिर्देशन पत्राच्या संदर्भात मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांकडे अपिल सादर केले होते. सदर अपिलावर मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांनी सोबतच्या तक्त्याप्रमाणे निर्णय दिलेले आहेत.

मा. कुलगुरू कार्यालय  
स्वामी रामानंद तीर्थ मराठवाडा विद्यापीठ,  
नांदेड





॥ सा विद्या या विमुक्तये ॥

# स्वामी रामानंद तीर्थ मराठवाडा विद्यापीठ, नांदेड

'ज्ञानतीर्थ', विष्णुपुरी, नांदेड - ४३१ ६०६ (महाराष्ट्र राज्य) भारत

## SWAMI RAMANAND TEERTH MARATHWADA UNIVERSITY, NANDED

'Dnyanteerth', Vishnupuri, Nanded - 431 606 (Maharashtra State) INDIA

Established on 17th September, 1994, Recognized By the UGC U/s 2(f) and 12(B), NAAC Re-accredited with 'B++' grade

अधिसभेवर अध्यापक गटामधून निवडून देण्याकरीता

मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांकडे प्राप्त नामनिर्देशन अर्जा संदर्भात अपिलावरील निर्णय

- संदर्भ: १. निवडणूक सूचना स्वारातीमवि/निवडणूक/अधिसभा/अध्यापक/२०२२-२३/४६४, दिनांक २१/१०/२०२२  
२. पत्र क्रं. सभा व निवडणूक/२०२२-२३/६५८, दि. ७/११/२०२२  
३. महाराष्ट्र शासन राजपत्र असाधारण भाग चार-ब (असाधारण क्रमांक १२५) दि. १७ मे, २०१७


दिनांक १२/११/२०२२

अक्रं	अपिलकर्त्याचे नाव	मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांकडे दाखल केलेल्या अपिलाचा तपशिल	अपिलावर मा. कुलगुरू महोदयांनी घेतलेले निर्णय
1	2	3	4
1	डॉ.गित्ते आशा नरसिंगराव	दिनांक : १०/११/२०२२ रोजी डॉ. गित्ते आशा नरसिंगराव, महात्मा ज्योतीबा फुले महाविद्यालय, मुखेड यांनी अपिल सादर केले. मी अध्यापक गटामधून अधिसभेवर महिला प्रवर्गाकरिता उमेदवारीसाठी नामनिर्देशन अर्ज दाखल केला होता. अधिसभेचा नामनिर्देशन अर्ज भरतांना अनावधानाने माइयाकडून विद्यापरिषदेच्या यादीतील उमेदवार, सूचक व अनुमोदक यांचे अनु.क्रमांक टाकण्यात आलेले आहेत. अधिसभेच्या मतदार यादीत वरील उमेदवार, सूचक व अनुमोदक यांचे नांव असल्यामुळे व फक्त अनु. क्रमांक चुकलेले असल्यामुळे अनु.क्रमांक यांचा विचार न करता माइया नावाचा विचार करावा व अधिसभेची निवडणूक लढवण्याची संधी द्यावी व अपिल मान्य करावे अशी विनंती केली आहे.	अपिलकर्त्याचे अपिल अमान्य करण्यात येत आहे.





2	Dr. Lenina SVB	<p>Dr. Lenina SVB – Appeal dated 10/11/2022</p> <p>1. Valid, Invalid Nomination List of the College Teachers to be elected on Senate MPU Act, 2016 u/s 28(2)(r)</p> <p>2. Provisional Electoral Roll of the Teachers of Affiliated and Autonomous Colleges and Recognized Institutions to be elected on Senate as per Section 28(2)(r)</p> <p>As mentioned in Reference 1, my nomination for Election of Teachers for the SENATE of SRTMUN, is set Invalid. In this regards, may I request you to kindly go through the following points and reconsider my nomination application.</p> <p>As we all know, voting is the language of any democracy. The ability to participate in civic life; to have a voice in choosing the elected officials whose decisions impact our lives, families, and communities, is at the core of what it means to be an Indian citizen. With so much of hard efforts, we keep promoting voters rights.</p> <p>It is a very sorry state of affairs that, so many are denied the fundamental right in the university elections. It is hereby being brought to your kind notice that, 54 out of 59 teachers of SGGSI&amp;T, Nanded, are denied the right to vote. (Details on attached file page 101 to 110). Moreover, SGGSI&amp;T, has obtained autonomous status in 2004, and honourable University itself has issued a letter: Acad/ Approval/ o2/ 2007-2008/ 596, dated August22, 2007 (attached herewith for reference). In spite of this, most of the entries are with the remark given are, "REGULAR FULL TIME APPROVAL NOT ATTACHED".</p> <p>Sir, the Election Commission of India in its all type of elections never practice the redundant process of registration in Electoral list, for each time it announces its elections. I am the regular voter of the Elections of Teachers of this university since 2010 and utilised my voting right in 2011 and 2017 elections. Also, I have gone through the University Act 2016 and unable to find the clause pertaining to repetitive electoral registration process.</p> <p>As per reference 1 my nomination is denied with remark of "Invalid due to not correct name as per the final electoral roll", hence, I am bringing it to your kind notice that as per reference 2, my name appears, at Sr. No 533, which I did mention in my nomination application and it is correct in all respects from my point of view.</p> <p>Sir, this denial of Right to vote and participate in Election is injustice to me as well my colleagues. Hence, I request you to kindly look into the matter and kindly do consider the changes as follows, while preparing the electoral list, and subsequent nominations.</p> <p>Changes proposed for consideration.</p> <p>1. All faculty members of affiliated colleges, should be considered on electoral list and redundant process of electoral form filling should be avoided.</p> <p>2. Revisions of the previous list (addition of new joining and removal of the transferred or deceased to be validated by the head of the institute) rather than asking for new list every time, with approval letters attached should be avoided. (Once the faculty has participated in previous election, doesn't it mean s/he is approved already?).</p> <p>Hence I request you to kindly look into the matter and take positive action for allowing us to participate and cast our valuable vote.</p> <p>So, in anticipation of appropriate stand from the elite position of honourable the Vice- Chancellor, we are looking forward a favourable action.</p>	<p>अपिलकत्यांचे नांव अंतिम मतदार यादी मध्ये नसल्यामुळे अपिलकत्यांचे अपिल अमान्य करण्यात येत आहे.</p>
---	----------------	--	--





3	Mr. Pawar Vishnu Manohar	<p>Pawar Vishnu Manohar – Appeal dated 10/11/2022  Sub: Appeal against the decision dated 07.11.2022 of the Registrar and Returning officer for Senate elections of the University.  With reference to the above- mentioned subject, the appellatant is submitting the following appeal for your kind consideration and favorable decision.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the appellatant Pawar Vishnu Manohar, approved College Librarian, Shivaji Mahavidyalaya Udgir had submitted a nomination form for the Senate elections 2022-23 of SRTMU Nanded in the Teacher's constituency ( Open category). The appellatant is working as a university- approved Librarian in the college since 29 April 2012 and has to his credit experience of more than 10 years and was fully qualified and eligible to contest the election of the Senate in the teacher constituency.</li> <li>2. However, the registrar and returning officer of the university has rejected the nomination form for the reason stating “ Invalid due to not having teaching experience as per the notification Sr.(2)” ( see Reference No-2 dated 07.11.2002). the said decision is illegal and against the law for the following reasons:</li> </ol> <p><b>Grounds</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) As per the provisions of the section 2(61) of the MPU, Act,2016, College Librarians are included in the definition of “ Teacher”. ( See Enclosure No.-1)</li> <li>ii) The qualification for the post of Librarians are the same qualifications prescribed by UGC for the post of Assistant professors. ( See Enclosure No.-2)</li> <li>iii) As per UGC regulations, the College Librarians are also entitled for the benefits of the promotion of the Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) namely Selection Grade, Senior Selection Grade, Associat professor, Professor and so on. (See Enclosure No.-3)</li> <li>iv) The State Government has stated in clear terms that college librarians should be considered to be eligible for appointment to the post of Principal as per letter No. UGC2010/(472/10)/SP-1 Dated 28.07.2010. ( The definition of teacher under M.U.Act 1994 and M.P.U.Act, 2016 is identical). It has been specifically directed that the post of Librarian is equivalent to the post of Assistant Professor. Librarians cannot be deprived from the Appointment to the post of Principal only because he is not a classroom teacher ( no experience of actual teaching in the class). (See Enclosure No.-3)</li> <li>v) As the UGC &amp; Government of Maharashtra Reqlations, the pay scale of Librarians are equivalent to the pay scale of an Assistant professor. (See Enclosure No.-2)</li> <li>vi) As per the resolution of Government of Maharashtra No. Sankirna/2205/98 dated 24.06.2022, clause No.-2, it is clearly stated that all Librarians and Directors of Physical Education of Senior Colleges and considered as equivalent to a teaching post and directed to merge them in the teaching category while preparing the roster (See Enclosure No.-4)</li> <li>vii) The Government letter Ref UGC 2010/(472/10)/SP-1, dated 28.07.2010 ; is the answer to the issue and hence the action of rejection of the nomination form of the appellatant is against the law. (See Enclosure No.-3)</li> <li>viii) In all other universities in the state, governed by the very same Act (M.P.U. Act,2016), the Librarians have been held to be eligible for contesting the election of</li> </ol>	<p>निवडणूक सूचना जा.क्र.स्वारातीमवि/  निवडणूक/अधिसभा/अध्यापक/२०२२-२३/४६४,  दि. २१/१०/२०२२ नुसार अधिसभेच्या उमेदवारीसाठी अध्यापनाचा अनुभव असणे आवश्यक आहे. ग्रंथपालास वर्ग तासिकेचा कार्यभार नसल्यामुळे अध्यापनाचा अनुभव नसतो.अपिलकत्यानि अध्यापनाच्या अनुभवाचे प्रमाणपत्र जोडले नसल्यामुळे अपिलकत्यांचे अपिल अमान्य करण्यात येत आहे.</p>
---	-----------------------------	---	--



	<p>the senate from the Teacher's constituency. The examples are –</p> <p>a) Gondwana University, Gadchiroli's; Shri Sable Sanjay Narayanroa (See Enclosure No.-5)</p> <p>b) Punyashylok Ahilyadevi Holkar Solapur University, Solapur : Dr. (Smt) Gawali Vandana Santosh. (See Enclosure No.-6)</p> <p>c) Sant Gadgebaba Amravati University, Amravati ; Dr. Mahinkar, R.N. (See Enclosure No.-7)</p> <p>d) Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University Nagpur; Dr.Rokde Shashikant Ganpat (See Enclosure No.-8)</p> <p>ix) For each of the above-mentioned reasons, the notification Ref No.-2 dated 07.11.2022 issued by the Returning officer is unsustainable in the eyes of the law.</p> <p><b>Prayer :</b></p> <p>It is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble Appellate Authority be pleased to quash &amp; set aside the order Ref 2 dated 07.11.2022 issued by the Registrar and Returning Officer of the University rejecting the nomination form of the appellant for the elections of the Senate from the Teacher constituency and to direct that Returning Officer to accept the nomination form of the appellant as a valid nomination for contesting the election of the Senate from the Teacher constituency and further to include the the of the appellant in the list of valid contesting candidates for the senate election from teacher constituency ( Open Category).</p> <p>Considering the above facts, I request you to accept my nomination form as a valid nomination for contesting the election of the Senate from the teacher constituency and further include the name in the list of valid candidate for the senate elections from the teacher constituency (Open Category).</p>	
--	---	--

